

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,050	04/12/2007	Quan Lu	STAN-285	2014
24353 BOZICEVIC	7590 09/14/2005 FIELD & FRANCIS LL	EXAMINER		
1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE			WESSENDORF, TERESA D	
SUITE 200 EAST PALO	ALTO, CA 94303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1639	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/582,050	LU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
TERESA WESSENDORF	1639	

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	TERESA WESSENDORF	1639					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fixed after SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO prior for reply is specified above, the maximum statetop vention and apply and vide cybic SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO prior for reply is specified above, the maximum statetop vention and apply and vide cybic SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any carried patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.740(b).							
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) 1-44 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement						
0)23 Claim(0) 7 17 are subject to resurration and or c	nootion roquiromont.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Notice of References Cited (P10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	 Notice of Informal F 	atent Application					

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __ 6) Other: Application/Control Number: 10/582,050 Page 2

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, drawn to a predefined pooled collection of distinct nucleic acid vectors, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- II. Claims 5-10, drawn to a method of reducing expression of one or more chromosomal coding regions in a population of cells, classified in class 435, subclass
 6.
- III. Claims 11-26, drawn to a method of identifying a genomic coding sequence of interest, classified in class 506, subclass 8.
- IV. Claims 27-34, drawn to a method of producing a noncellular nucleic acid library, classified in class 506, subclass 26.
- V. Claim 35, drawn to a non-cellular nucleic acid library, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- VI. Claims 36 and 38-39 drawn to a cellular nucleic acid library produced by transforming a population of cells, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.

Art Unit: 1639

VII. Claim 37, drawn to a method of identifying a genomic coding sequence of interest comprising transforming a population of host cells, classified in class 506, subclass 2+.

- VIII. Claims 40-42, drawn to a genomic coding sequence present in other than its natural environment, a nucleic acid transcript of a genomic coding sequence and an expression product of a genomic coding sequence, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- IX. Claims 43-44, drawn to a method of treating a subject suffering from anthrax mediated disease condition, said method comprising: administering to said subject an effective amount of ARAP3 inhibitory agent to treat said subject, classified in class 514, subclass 2+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, V, VI and VIII are directed to related products (compounds). The related inventions are distinct if:

(1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the

Art Unit: 1639

inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. For example, the compound of group 1 drawn to a population of nucleic acid vectors differs in structure from a library of noncellular nucleic acid of group II. The nucleic acid of group I is not necessarily contain in the vectors of group I. The compounds of Group VI or compositions are drawn to cellular library e.g., different type of host cells such as bacterial, viral and/or mammalian cells. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is

Inventions II, III, IV, VII and IX are directed to related products (compounds). The related inventions are distinct if:

(1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. For

Art Unit: 1639

example the products or effects produced by group II result in the reduction of expression of chromosomal coding regions in a population of cells. Group III however is drawn to a screening method to identify a gene coding sequence using in vitro process. Group IV is drawn to a distinct process of making that results or requires the step to make and produce a non-cellular library. Group VIII requires the method to be performed cellularly in a host which can be any type of organisms. The method of Group IX is drawn to a treatment method which requires administration of an agent obtained from the process of screening. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Inventions (I, V, VI and VIII) and (II, III, IV, VII and IX) are directed to an unrelated product and process. Product and process inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that the product cannot be used in, or made by, the process. See MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06. In the instant case, groups I, V, VI and VIII are each drawn to different and distinct products, the statutory requirements are therefore different from each of the processes in each of groups II, III, IV, VII and IX.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are

Art Unit: 1639

independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,050
Art Unit: 1639

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1639

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104.

Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected

Art Unit: 1639

product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims.

Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TERESA WESSENDORF whose telephone number is (571)272-0812. The examiner can normally be reached on flexitime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on 571-272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 10

Art Unit: 1639

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/TERESA WESSENDORF/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1639